

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMINSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/055,539 | 01/23/2002 | Steven M. Drucker | MS188916.1 8839 | |
| 759 | 90 02/06/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| Himanshu S. Amin National City Center, 24th Floor 1900 East 9th Street | | | LUU, LE HIEN | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Cleveland, OH | Cleveland, OH 44114 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/055,539 | DRUCKER ET AL. | | | | |
| Advisory Addon | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Le H. Luu | 2141 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | tion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Please refer to attached sheets. | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| . Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ↑ | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | Cranlin | | | | |
| | | Le H Luu Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2141 | | | | |

1. In the remarks, applicant argued in substance that

(A) Prior art does not teach the number of the plurality of thumbnail images is

based at least in part on an analysis of the media input by the media delivery system.

As to point (A), Horie teaches still-image cursors and still images are displayed at

positions corresponding to individual images (scales). In addition, Horie teaches using

audio-mode switching position, and recognizing changes in scene in the image to

determine positions of still-image cursors. Alternatively, user-predetermined positions

can also be determined as image-cursor positions (page 5, paragraphs [0069 – 0077]).

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/18/2005 have been fully considered but they

are not deemed to be persuasive. The rejections of claims 1-27 are respectfully

maintained and incorporated by reference as set forth in the Final Office Action.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Le H. Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-3884.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/055,539

Art Unit: 2141

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMPLE

Page 3